



FOX News/Opinion Dynamics Poll

29 March 07

Polling was conducted by telephone March 27-28, 2007, in the evenings. The total sample is 900 registered voters nationwide with a margin of error of ± 3 percentage points. Results are of registered voters, unless otherwise noted. On a completely different topic . . .

43. Do you think companies that sell tainted pet food products that result in the death of family pets should:

- SCALE: 1. Only have to reimburse the pet owner the cost of the pet
 2. Pay for cost of the pet as well as for the pain and suffering of the families
 3. Face criminal penalties in addition to financial penalties 4. (Don't know)

	Reimburse cost	Pay cost and suffering	Criminal penalties	(Don't know)
27-28 Mar 07	27%	26	31	16
Pet owners	28%	28	30	14
No pets	25%	24	32	20

44. Do you think poisoning someone's pet is more serious, less serious or just as serious as poisoning a person?

	More serious	Less serious	Just as serious	(Don't know)
27-28 Mar 07	8%	50	38	4
Pet owners	6%	49	42	3
No pets	10%	51	33	6

45. What do you think is a fair and reasonable dollar figure to pay for the death of a family pet due to negligent poisoning?

	27-28 Mar 07	Pet owners	No pets
(Less than \$200)	6%	6%	8%
(\$200 to \$999)	16	15	16
(\$1,000 to \$4,999)	15	16	13
(\$5,000 to \$9,999)	9	9	9
(\$10,000 to \$49,999)	7	7	6
(\$50,000 to \$99,999)	2	3	1
(\$100,000 to \$499,999)	1	1	2
(\$500,000 to \$999,999)	1	1	1
(1 million dollars or more)	3	3	2
(Nothing)	5	5	5
(Don't know)	35	34	37

46. Do you currently have a pet dog or pet cat in your home? (IF YES) Which?

	Yes, dog	Yes, cat	Yes, both	No, neither	(Don't know/ Refused)
27-28 Mar 07	30%	12	18	40	1
Democrats	26%	15	18	40	1
Republicans	32%	10	21	36	1
Independents	33%	8	15	44	-